

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,465	VAN DEN BULCKE, MARC	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jermie Cozart	3726	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** abandoned

 (1) Jermie Cozart.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jack Paavila.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 March 2006
**Time:** 12:35pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

During a telephone conversation with Jack Paavila, the Examiner inquired as to whether a response had been filed in reply to the office action mailed 9/9/05. Mr. Paavila indicated that no response had been filed.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)